

GLENELG STAGE MIRACLE WIN

BY  **SAM GALLAGHER**

	1st	2nd	3rd	Final	Pts.
Glen.	2—2	6—9	7—11	19—14	128
Foot.	7—11	8—12	16—18	18—19	127

BEST PLAYERS — GLENELG: Voigt, Caldwell, Marker, Carey, Hinson, Rosewarne, Cornes, McFarlane, Osborn. **FOOTSCRAY:** Magee, Quinlan McGhie, Power, Round, Bissett, Thorpe, Welsh, Dempsey.

SCORERS — GLENELG: Voigt, 5.2, Osborn 4.2, Rawson 2.0, Marker 2.0, Carey 1.5, Sandland 1.2, Rosewarne 1.1, Cornes 1.1, Caldwell 1.0, McFarlane 1.0, Hahn 0.1. **FOOTSCRAY:** Quinlan 4.2, Bissett 3.4, Magee 3.4, Parker 2.2, Cook 1.2, Pagnoccolo 1.1, Thorpe 1.1, Round 1.1, Greenwood 1.0, Stamp 1.0, Rippon 0.1, rushed 0.1.

In a sensational finish to the game at the Bay, Gleneig produced an incredible last quarter burst to convert a 10-goal deficit into a one-point victory over Footscray.

With scoring governed largely by the wind—only 12 of the 70 scoring shots were into the breeze—the Bays made full use of the blow by kicking 12-3 in the run home.

However, last use of the breeze didn't tell the full story.

Even allowing for this advantage, few would have conceded Gleneig much hope of winning from 61 points down.

Up to this point Footscray had looked the better side, although Gleneig challenged strongly in the second quarter, when Marker got into the game and Caldwell attacked brilliantly from half-back.

But Footscray seemed to have snuffed that challenge when they cruised to a 10-goal lead by kicking 8-6 in the third quarter.

Other ideas

Strength in ruck, quick changes on the ball (Magee was outstanding), Power's penetration on the wing, Quinlan's control of centre half-forward, Bissett's roving and Dempsey's marking in defence all pointed to a Footscray win.

But the Bays had other ideas.

A goal in the first 30 seconds from Sandland was a good start.

Footscray matched it but Gleneig then proceeded to kick 5-1 without reply.

Dempsey was thrown back into ruck as Peter Carey reached great heights to inspire Gleneig's revival.

Voigt, a key player all day, maintained a great game to the siren and Caldwell's magnificent goal at a vital stage capped a fine exhibition of dashing defence.

A marked improvement across the Bays' half-forward line was significant in the final result.



FOOTSCRAY captain Garry Dempsey gets the tap, and an arm around the neck, from Gleneig ruckman Phil Carey in the match at Gleneig Oval today.



STAN WICKHAM
analyses the clubs



Wayne Phillis . . . better in defence?

BAYS SAY: DON'T NAP ON JOB

We will not be caught napping in 1972 as we were in '71. That will be the message at the Bay during the next few weeks.

Well-planned preparations have already been implemented.

The Tigers were the first to start training—in early December — and spent a week in Port Lincoln for extensive physical training.

Last week they proved their fitness by running over Footscray in the last term.

In allowing 18-19 to be kicked against them, one must look for faults in the backlines.

Darrel Moss turns on some "specky" games at centre half-back, but he is not frequently good enough.

Wayne Phillis should return to this position, where he has the disposition of a grizzly bear.

This would allow the free-moving Moss to take a forward position, possibly centre half-forward.

Colbey and the dashing Caldwell alongside Phillis would look pretty tight, while Button gives all the appearances that he is ready to make the switch from attack to full back.

The migration of Fred Phillis to Melbourne gives John Sandland a chance to make good. I believe he might also kick close to 100 goals.

YOUNG RUCKMEN

A rover to replace the reliable Pattinson (shifted to Darwin), will be one of experimentation. The rucks are young in Carey, Tardif and Daniels, but they pack plenty of talent and have the right coach to improve them.

The Bays are a bit "lffy" on the wings, but gain plenty of value from Rosewarne at centre or the dynamic leadership of Peter Marker, who often heads goalwards like a charging rhino.

Neil Kerley's first full year as a non-playing coach was not a happy one, and maybe there were some adjustments to make in his thinking from the bench.

Indications are that he and the Tigers are back in unison and ready to make an attack on the finals.

Who they will displace is anyone's guess.

Bays find Cats tough



Peter Marker

Glenelg, who matched Geelong for three terms at the Bay, could not find the effort to get up and win.

The Bays' third term was their best.

They cut Geelong's defence to pieces, with clever handball and players backed each other up.

Geelong on the other hand produced a last quarter effort equal to that of the Bays.

Geelong had two outstanding match winning efforts from Newman in ruck and Clarke at half-forward. Glenelg were unable to stop them.

Young Peter Carey had given Newman plenty of opposition early, but as soon as the Bay ruckman left the field in the last term Newman went on to greater heights.

Button best

The first half was very even, although the Bays kept showing that they would break loose.

Credit must go to Ray Button, who performed more than creditably to be the best Bay defender.

Geelong were off target in their scoring effort—because of the pressure that Button, Moss, and Caldwell kept on the visiting forwards.

The change came over the game in the third term when Glenelg tried their third player at centre half-forward, Graham Cornes.

Cornes covered plenty of ground in his effort to bring more players into play.

The backing up of the Glenelg side with their direct handball was brilliant, sometimes bringing the crowd to their feet.

Another move that paid dividends was the continual switching of Rosewarne and Marker from centre to half-forward.



BY **BILL HENDERSON**

	1st	2nd	3rd	Final	Pts.
Geel'g	4-7	8-12	11-15	20-17	137
Glen.	3-4	7-7	13-10	17-11	113

BEST PLAYERS—GEEELONG: Newman, Clarke, Wright, Closter, Scarlett, Woolnough. **GLENELG:** Cornes, Rosewarne, Voigt, Button, Moss, Marker, Carey Caldwell.

SCORERS—GEEELONG: Clarke 7.3, Andrews 4.2, Barkley 2.4, Newland 2.0, Stephenson 1.3, Wade 1.1, Wright 1.0, Stephens 1.0, Davies 1.0, Closter 0.3, Nankervis 0.1. **GLENELG:** Sandland 3.4, Cornes 2.1, Marriott 2.1, Rosewarne 2.0, Rawson 2.0, Voigt 2.1, Eblen 1.1, Morgan 1.0, Mitchell 1.0, Carey 1.0, Hockridge 0.2, Osborn 0.1.

Mediocre

Geelong did not help their cause by continuing to kick poorly.

They reversed this trend in the last term, coupling accuracy with skilful play.

From the drive Newman gave them in the ruck they got the necessary drive to bring about the change.

Andrews, who was held early by Moss, made the Glenelg centre half-back look mediocre.

He got three goals himself in this term besides helping in many others.

The real thorn up forward for the Glenelg back lines was the elusive forward Clarke.

His game is around the same style as the Hawthorn champion Keddie. He just kept popping up all over the place to kick seven goals for the match.

He also got three of these in the last term. Never was he contained by an opponent.

Geelong's best player was between John Newman in ruck and Clarke.

Quietened

Yet another half-forward, J. Wright, in the first half gave his side a safe avenue to attack.

He was quietened by Nev Caldwell who was shifted on to him in the second term.

John Scarlett at full back led a solid defence to be the pick of the back lines.

A young player who caught the eye was rover D. Stephenson. With experience he could develop.

The Bays' best player was the solid Rosewarne, at centre or half-forward.

Cornes had a good opening and a terrific third term.

Spoiler

The Bays should be pleased with the effort, despite a few mistakes, of Ray Button at full back.

He spoiled well and his clearing kicks were equal to any full back's I have seen.