

# MIXED FORTUNES FOR SA

South Australian football clubs were successful in three of the seven interstate trial matches in Adelaide and Melbourne today. SA victors were Sturt, Glenelg, and Central Districts.

●**AT GLENELG:** Glenelg were in outstanding form against Fitzroy and had a resounding 49-point victory in a game that averaged about three scraps a quarter. The dominance exerted by Glenelg's centre and half-forward line were the key factors in Glenelg's opening with 12-7 in the first term.

Anesbury, with eight goals from half-forward, was devastating. With Marker's three goals and Fred Phillis' five, he spearheaded Glenelg's attack.

Fitzroy had few players moving well.

●**AT UNLEY:** Sturt defeated Perth by 30 points in a high-standard game.

Sturt were more methodical in attack—with Greenslade a far better full forward than his opposite number Peel.

●**AT THEBARTON:** Essendon, sixth in the Victorian league last season, were under pressure until late in the game against West Torrens.

The Eagles, whose run of pre-season injuries continued lost the game, in the first quarter when they failed to take full advantage of the strong breeze.

Essendon kicked four goals into the wind

in this term.

They threatened to go right away early in the last term but Torrens fought back.

●**AT ELIZABETH:** Central Districts beat Footscray at their own game of fast, rugged and play-on football.

If they can consistently maintain this dazzling standard during the year they will be a definite threat to any side here.

Even when the pressure reached an unusual pitch in a vicious third term, Centrals continued to shepherd and back up superbly.

They were clearly the stronger, more disciplined and skilful team.

●**AT PROSPECT:** St. Kilda, seventh in the Victorian league last season, gave North Adelaide a lesson in the first term.

The Saints displayed fast, hard play-on football, to score four goals against the breeze, while North could manage only one.

St. Kilda had too many big men, and all their players put the ball to better use than did North.

North matched the Saints after half-time. Even so, they never looked like bridging

the gap.

●**AT MELBOURNE CRICKET GROUND:** Port Adelaide forced Melbourne into many mistakes and until the last term looked as if they would triumph.

Melbourne managed to lift themselves after the last change and began to play good wet-weather football in the quagmire-type conditions.

Port's best were captain John Cahill, Rosa Haslam, Bruce Nyland, and Peter Witte. Ruckmen Keith Spencer and Bob Clayton also played well.

Final scores were: Melbourne 11-8, Port Adelaide 8-13.

●**AT PRINCE'S PARK:** South Adelaide must have wondered what hit them when they met Carlton.

The result was a 77-point defeat for the Panthers.

South will have to do far better in virtually every position if they are to improve this season.

In the greasy conditions, ruckman Lindsay Backman and Bob Schmidt were South's most impressive.

## Results at a glance

### IN ADELAIDE

#### AT GLENELG

	1st	2nd	3rd	Final	Pts.
Glen.	12-7	16-12	23-20	26-20	176
Fitz.	1-0	8-10	11-11	18-19	127

**BEST PLAYERS—GLENELG:** Anesbury, Marker, Moss, Voigt, Rosewarne, Crabb, Pattinson, Phillis, Gould, FITZROY: Murphy, Lazaris, Newnham, Zinneberg, Merrigan, Searl.

**SCORERS—GLENELG:** Anesbury 8, Phillis 5, Marker 3, Moss 3, Voigt 2, Hockridge 2, Pattinson, Eblen, Sandlands. **FITZROY:** Searl 5, Merrigan 3, Lazaris 3, Robertson 2, Murphy 2, Andrews, Baker, Newnham.

**INJURIES—FITZROY:** Searl (broken ankle) in last term. Dane (broken bone in hand) first term.

#### AT THEBARTON

Essen.	4-2	9-7	10-8	15-17	107
Torr.	6-1	7-4	12-7	13-8	86

**BEST PLAYERS—ESSENDON:** Davis, C. Payne, Sproule, Gosper, Gerlach, Daniel, Collins, Tassell.

**TORRENS:** Wildy, Phillipou, Perks, Turpin, Floreani, Birt, Gibson Forsyth.

**SCORERS—ESSENDON:** C. Payne 4.3, Greenwood 3.0, Pryor 3.0, Collins 2.2, Barclay 2.0, Ellis 1.2, Davis 0.2, Starbuck 0.1, Gosper 0.1, Sproule 0.1, Close 0.1, rushed 0.4. **TORRENS:** Birt 4, Dabrowski 2.2, Floreani 2.1, Phillipou 1.0, Perks 1.0, Barnes 1.0, Pavlich 1.0, Bills 0.2, Mulvihill 0.1.

#### AT PROSPECT

St. K.	4-4	8-11	9-13	13-19	97
North	1-3	2-4	5-8	6-9	45

**BEST PLAYERS—ST. KILDA:** Ditterich, Bob Pascoe, Theodore McIntosh, Besanko, Smith.

**NORTH ADELAIDE:** Hearl, Stringer, Phillips, Patterson, Lehman, Jaworskyj, Webb.

**SCORERS—ST. KILDA:** Breen 4.6, Bob Pascoe 2.2, Theodore 2.2, Smith 1.2, Barry Pascoe 1.1, Payne 1.1, Stewart 1.1, Colling 1.0, McIntosh 0.3, Trott 0.1. **NORTH ADELAIDE:** Hearl 3.1, Sachse 1.1, Patterson 1.0, Stringer 1.0, Von Bertouch 0.2, Hammond 0.2, D. Burns 0.2, Plummer 0.1.

#### AT RICHMOND

West	2-1	6-10	11-12	15-15	105
W'ville	4-8	7-10	9-16	11-22	88

**BEST PLAYERS—WEST:** Jonas, Pannenberg, Wallis, Daley, Farmer. **WOODVILLE:** Teasdall, Huppertz, Ruth, MacVicar.

**SCORERS—WEST:** Jonas 8.4, Pannenberg 3.0, Wallis 1.3, Hewitt 1.2, Day 1.0, Roffey 1.0, Burkett 0.2, Farmer 0.1. **WOODVILLE:** MacVicar 4.1, Ruth 2.3, Huppertz 2.0, Buckley 1.1, Teasdale 1.1, Barbary 1.0, Peters 0.2, Blight 0.2, MacKellar 0.1, Horne 0.1, Ried 0.1, Cummins 0.1, Sewer 0.1, Coudraye 0.1, Christie 0.1.

#### AT UNLEY

Sturt	1-3	7-9	10-11	15-20	110
Perth	4-5	5-6	10-10	11-14	80

**BEST PLAYERS—STURT:** Hicks, Bagshaw, Chessell, Burgan, Nelson, Tilbrook, Greenslade, Rigney. **PERTH:** Astone, Bennett, Chittleborough, Kirkham, Ramshaw, Shields, Day.

**SCORERS—STURT:** Greenslade 4.6, Nunan 3.0, Rigney 2.2, Ottens 2.1, Tilbrook 1.2, Wild 1.1, Hicks 1.0, Lauder 1.0, Bagshaw 0.3, Clarke 0.1, Graham 0.1, Friedrichs 0.1, rushed 0.2. **PERTH:** Bennett 4.5, Astone 3.1, Jenzen 1.1, Miller 1.1, Day 1.0, Brehaut 1.0, Parsons 0.2, Stiles 0.1, Chittleborough 0.1, Millsom 0.1, rushed 0.1.

#### AT ELIZABETH

C'trals	5-5	7-5	13-10	15-12	102
F'scray	0-2	4-8	5-9	11-15	81

**BEST PLAYERS—CENTRALS:** Window, Mulholland, Davis, Johns, Morey, Farnham. **FOOTSCRAY:** Dempsey, Magee, Quinlan, Darcy, Round, Junker.

**SCORERS—CENTRALS:** Window 7.0, Kleinig 2.2, Jones 2.2, Mulholland 1.2, Price 1.0, O'Connor 1.0, Kroehn 1.0, Saywell 0.2, Morey 0.1, Johns 0.1, Muir 0.1, rushed 0.1. **FOOTSCRAY:** Round 3.2, Thorpe 3.1, Magee 1.2, Blissett 1.1, Mannix 1.1, Quinlan 1.1, Greenwood 1.0, Whitten 1.0, Hines 0.2, Dempsey 0.2, Skreja 0.1, rushed 0.2.

### IN MELBOURNE

#### AT PRINCES PARK

Carl'n	3-9	7-15	12-20	16-23	119
South	2-2	3-4	4-5	6-6	42

**BEST PLAYERS—CARLTON:** Doull, Hopkins, Pinnell, Crosswell, Collins, Nicoll, Walls, Chandler. **SOUTH:** Eckert, Backman, Schmidt, Coombe, Ball, Hebbard, Whelan.

**GOALKICKERS—CARLTON:** Jesaulenko 5, Nicoll 4, Crosswell, Walsh, Walls, Chandler, Armstrong, Nicholls, Keogh. **SOUTH:** Howlett 2, Whelan, Ball, Eckert, Backman.

#### AT THE MCG

Melb.	2-2	4-7	7-11	11-8	74
Port	1-3	3-4	7-6	8-13	61

**BEST PLAYERS—MELBOURNE:** Wells, Parke, Clark, Davis, Alves, Keenan Sinclair. **PORT:** Cahill, Haslam, Witte, Potter, Spencer, Elleway, Egan.

**GOALKICKERS—MELBOURNE:** Carr 2, Sinclair 2, Wells 2, Dillon, Hardeman, Keenan, Parke, Weekes. **PORT:** Haslam 3, Witte 2, Cahill, Clayton, Ebert.

## GLENELG INTO TOP GEAR

### Fitzroy no match from start



BY STAN WICKHAM

A devastating first term . . . dominating centre and half-forward lines . . . superior teamwork and pace . . . and Bob Anesbury's goalkicking . . .

these were the reasons behind Glenelg's 49-point victory over Fitzroy in their trial match at Glenelg Oval today.

	1st	2nd	3rd	Final	Pts.
Glen.	12-7	16-12	23-20	26-20	176
Fitzroy	1-0	8-10	11-11	18-19	127

Glenelg kicked 12.7 in the first term, and Anesbury notched eight goals. At centre and ahead of it Glenelg were in great touch.

Crabb, Gould and Rosewarne won plenty of touches across centre to launch Glenelg in from the outset.

With Anesbury, Marker and Eblen moving strongly at half-forward, it was a procession for Glenelg in the first term.

The ruck hitouts were evenly contested but the Tigers' rovers in Pattinson and Voigt shared most of Fitzroy's taps.

Gould did the same at the centre contests.

The first—an accident—of many incidents happened early in the first term when Lazarus, of Fitzroy, was forced backwards into the fence, hitting his head. He needed two stitches.

From that point Glenelg went from strength to strength.

Fitzroy attempted to strongarm Glenelg on an average of three occasions a term.

Glenelg refused to be intimidated. But they did hold their own in the "muscle department."

Glenelg's overhead

marking was sound, with Moss, Fred Phillis, Marker and Anesbury snaring many.

The fact that Fitzroy kicked 18 goals suggests some holes in Glenelg's defence.

The Glenelg defence positions which gave most away were centre half-back and full back, where the promising youngsters from the Thirds, Caldwell and Whittaker, found the pressure tough.

#### EBLEN GOOD

Whittaker had seven goals kicked against him (five by Searl until injured).

Despite this the Glenelg youngsters looked good material.

Eblen played the first half at centre half-forward, Sandland the second.

The use of handball was a feature of Glenelg's sweeping quickly into attack.

Up 81 points at the last change, Glenelg's concentration waned in the last term and a looseness developed in the back lines, enabling Fitzroy to get a run of goals.

Interest had gone out of the game and if it had not been for the two unnecessary scraps late in the last term it would have been a very tame finish.

## Bays must fire on their own 'fuel'

Glenelg's ability to maintain the high standard it reached in 1969 will depend to a large extent on how successful they are in making good the loss of Neil Kerley's inspiration on the field.

Kerley, like Jack Oatey and Fos Williams, has been a great leader, and it is inevitable that, temporarily at least, the Bays will feel the lack of his commanding presence.

The most striking feature of Glenelg's rise from fifth place in 1968 to runners-up last season was a phenomenal improvement in attack.

While the defence was almost equally efficient in each of the two minor rounds, Glenelg's forwards kicked more than 1,000 points more than the previous year, to record the highest total yet for a 20-game minor round.

"Fred" Phillis of course was the player mainly responsible for putting the score on the board. But his feat, remarkable as it was, was only the culmination of power developed further afield.

In fact, during their 11-game winning sequence, Glenelg consistently had nearly every player in control of his position and they looked almost invincible.

To what extent Kerley's presence on the field helped to sustain this exceptional team effort is hard to gauge, but it must have been a significant influence, despite the fact that in-

**SAM GALLAGHER**

analyses  
**1970 League prospects**

at times in what was obviously a caretaker role.

Glenelg will be hoping that University's John Sandland will maintain his good early form in this key attacking position.

Both Sandland and ruckman Ian Hockridge were most impressive in the University-Norwood Seconds' curtain raiser to the grand final.

Probably nobody at the Bay is more anxious than Harry Kernahan for Hockridge to succeed.

Kernahan, who surprised most people by winning carnival selection after three years out of league football, could be in for another heavy season with Kerley, Long and Cornes missing from the Tigers' line-up.

Although Sturt's final superiority tends to detract from the merit of Glenelg's achievement in 1969, the Bays' pre-carnival games set a peak standard.

Since taking over in 1967, Kerley has established the side as a force to be reckoned with.

If Sturt were their masters last season, the Bays were clearly a cut above the rest of the competition.

It is a position they will not abandon without a struggle.

#### Significant

In particular, Colbey at half-back, Eustice and Rosewarne on the centre line, Marker at half-forward, Kernahan and the rovers, made the opportunities of which Phillis took full advantage.

In fact, during their 11-game winning sequence, Glenelg consistently had nearly every player in control of his position and they looked almost invincible.

To what extent Kerley's presence on the field helped to sustain this exceptional team effort is hard to gauge, but it must have been a significant influence, despite the fact that in-



Laurie Rosewarne